

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERO United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,876	11/17/2003	Jerrold P. Weiss	17023-030001/ 03067	5262
53137 7	590 07/17/2006	EXAMINER		
VIKSNINS HARRIS & PADYS PLLP			AUDET, MAURY A	
P.O. BOX 111098 ST. PAUL, MN 55111-1098			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,876	WEISS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maury Audet	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/12 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This 3)    Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 11-19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  ne: a) accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The response of 04/27/06 is acknowledged. Claims 1 has been amended to recite the limitations of claim 7, and claim 7 cancelled. Claims 1-6 and 8-19 are pending and examined on the merits.

#### Observation

Claim 7 has been cancelled, but the claim limitations left in their entirety. For clarity and in line with general cancellation practice, it is suggested the response to this action remove the actual claims limitations of claim 7 (and any other claims that should be cancelled), leaving simply "7. [Cancelled]."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The rejection of claim 1-6 and 8-10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viriyakosol et al. (J Biol Chem. 2001 Oct 12;276(41):38044-51. Epub 2001 Aug 10), is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive.

As discussed in the previous action (under section 102), Viriyakosol et al. teach a purified complex comprising endotoxin bound to MD-2, wherein the endotoxin is a wild-type, gram-

Art Unit: 1654

negative bacterial endotoxin of E. Coli, possessing a molecular weight of about 25,000, single molecules of each compound, which is water soluble and binds and produces TLR4-depending activation of CHO cells (see abstract, entire document).

Applicant has amended claim 1 to be only drawn to a single molecule of MD-2 bound to a single molecule of endotoxin (as opposed to greater than 1 molecule). Applicant's argument is that Viriyakosol et al. does not teach the invention, since the reference teaches the binding of greater than 1 molecule of endotoxin to MD-2.

MPEP section 2111.03 guides that:

The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. In re Herz, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original). [] For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising." See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase consisting essentially of' for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it regarded as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). See also AK Steel Corp. v. Sollac, 344 F.3d 1234, 1240-41, 68 USPQ2d 1280, 1283-84 (Fed. Cir. 2003) []. If an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of

Application/Control Number: 10/715,876

Art Unit: 1654

additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). []. "[T]he basic and novel characteristic of the claimed invention" is MD-2 bound to endotoxin, which Viriyakosol et al. teaches. The addition of more than one molecule of either MD-2 or endotoxin does not materially affect the "basic and novel characteristic of the claimed invention".

## Claim Objections

As discussed previously, claims 11-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not reasonably teach or suggest a complex of endotoxin bound to MD-2 less than 1 nM, about 30 pM, or an endotoxin that is hexa-acylated, under-acylated, tetra-acylated, or penta-acylated (claims 11-17). Additionally, the prior art of record only taught a complex of an endotoxin bound to MD-2 (and the administration of the compounds themselves individually or the bound complex); the prior art of record did not reasonably teach or suggest a "composition" of the same or a composition further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (claims 18-19).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 06/08/06

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER